

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332)
United States Attorney

2 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

4 BENJAMIN T. KENNEDY (CASBN 241350)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 150 Almaden Boulevard
6 San Jose, California 95113
7 Telephone: (408) 535-5059
Facsimile: (408) 535-5066
Email: benjamin.t.kennedy@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for the United States of America
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN JOSE DIVISION

13
14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 08-00183 JF
15 Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
16 v.) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM
17 ELVIS FABRICIO SANDOVAL-) APRIL 23, 2008 TO MAY 28, 2008 FROM
CORONA,) THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
18 Defendant.) CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §
19) 3161(h)(8)(A))

20
21 The parties stipulate that the time between April 23, 2008 and May 28, 2008 is excluded
22 under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the requested
23 continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective
24 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree that the
25 ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the
26 public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.
27
28 //

1 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

2
3 DATED: April 23, 2008

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

5 /s/
6 BENJAMIN T. KENNEDY
7 Assistant United States Attorney

8 /s/
9 CARLEEN ARLIDGE
10 Attorney for Defendant

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between April 23, 2008 and May 28, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

JEREMY FOGEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE